



BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

1001 G STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001-4597

TEL: 202.824.3000
FAX: 202.824.3001
www.bannerwitcoff.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 02 2004

OFFICIAL

TO:
Hope Robinson

FROM:
Sarah A. Kagan

COMPANY:
USPTO

DATE:
February 2, 2004

FAX NUMBER:

TOTAL NO. OF PAGES:

703 308 4242

20

RE:

OUR REFERENCE NO.:
005126.00003

If you do not receive all page(s) or have any problems receiving this transmission, please call:

NAME: Hayden Laura McPherson

PHONE: 202.824.3161

COMMENTS:

I certify that these 20 pages are
being transmitted today 2-2-04
to 703-308-4242. Nonetheless,
the paper is entitled to an 11-26-03
filing date as demonstrated by the
stamped receipt.

Sarah A. Kagan
Reg. No. 32141

IMPORTANT/CONFIDENTIAL: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. This message contains information from the law firm of BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. which may be privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, retention, archiving, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately at our telephone number listed above. We will be happy to arrange for the return of this message to our offices at no cost to you.

CHICAGO

WASHINGTON, D.C.

BOSTON

PORTLAND,
OR

OFFICIAL**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****FEB 02 2004**

In re Application of:

VINIK et al.

Application Serial No.: 09/659,379.

Filed: September 8, 2000

For: HIGH LEVEL EXPRESSION OF INGP IN
BACTERIAL AND EUKARYOTIC CELLS

Group Art Unit: 1653

Examiner: H. Robinson

Attorney Docket No. 05126.00003

RESPONSE TO FINAL REJECTIONCommissioner for Patents
Mail Stop AF
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed April 21, 2003, please reconsider the patentability of the pending claims.

A Notice of Appeal was filed October 21, 2003. No extension of time is required to make this filing timely.

Claim Status

Claims 1-49 are pending. No further amendments are requested in this filing.

Interview Summary

Examiner Carlson graciously discussed the rejection for double-patenting on the telephone on October 21, 2003. She maintained that overlapping subject matter was sufficient to trigger a double-patenting rejection. Agreement was not reached on that point. She suggested amending the claims to eliminate overlap or explain how the claims do not overlap. She provided suggested claims for eliminating overlap by using closed claim language (consisting